# **ORIGINAL**

Ρ	a	g	е	1

#### BEFORE THE

ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

CHATHAM BP, LLC, Petitioner,	)
100101011,	) PCB 15-173
-vs- ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, Respondent.	RECEIVED  CLERKS DEFICE  JUN - 2 2015
	STATE OF ILUNIOIS Pollution Control Board

Hearing held, pursuant to notice, on Wednesday, May 27, 2015, at the hour of 10:00 a.m. at 1021 N. Grand Avenue East, Springfield, Illinois, before Carol Webb, duly appointed Hearing Officer.

L.A. REPORTING SERVICE (312)419-9292

REPORTER: LAUREL A. PATKES, CSR #084-001340

		Page 2
1	BOARD MEMBERS:	
2	DEANNA GLOSSER, Chairman GERALD KEENAN	
3		
4	APPEARANCES:	
5	WILLIAM D. INGERSOLL BROWN, HAY & STEPHENS	
6	205 S. Fifth Suite 700	
7	Springfield, Illinois 62701	
8	appearing on behalf of Petitioner;	
9	SCOTT B. SIEVERS	
10	IEPA Assistant Counsel	
11	Division of Legal Counsel	
12	1021 N. Grand Ave. East Springfield, Illinois 62794	
13	appearing on behalf of Respondent.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	•	
23		
24		

					Page 3
1		IND	ΕX		
2	ELT TIME C.C.	DIDEGE	aboaa		, bhabaaa
3	WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	` RECROSS
4	HARRY CHAPPEL	4.5		o =	
5	By Mr. Ingersoll By Mr. Sievers	17	20	27	
6	ERIC KUHLMAN				
7	By Mr. Ingersoll By Mr. Sievers	30	36		
8	CAROL ROWE				
9	By Mr. Sievers	42			
10					
11					
12					
13	·				
14					
15					
16		EXHIBI	TS		•
17			ID	ENTIFIED	ADMITTED
18	Exhibit A			45	
19	Exhibit B		•	57	
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					

## PROCEEDINGS

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Good morning. My name is Carol Webb, and this is the hearing for PCB 15-173, Chatham BP, LLC versus IEPA. It is May 27, 2015, and we are beginning at 10 o'clock a.m.

I would like to welcome today
Chairman Deanna Glosser and Board Member Gerald
Keenan, and there are no members of the public
present.

At issue in this case is the Agency's rejection of petitioner's Stage II site investigation plan and budget for a facility located at 300 North Main Street in Chatham, Sangamon County. The decision deadline is July 23rd.

The Pollution Control Board members will make the final decision in this case.

My purpose is to conduct the hearing in a neutral and orderly manner so that we have a clear record of the proceedings.

This hearing was noticed pursuant to the Act and the Board's rules and will be conducted pursuant to Sections 101.600 through 101.632 of the Board's procedural rules.

At this time, I would like to ask the parties to please make their appearances on the record.

MR. INGERSOLL: William D. Ingersoll, Brown, Hay & Stephens, on behalf of petitioner, Chatham BP, LLC.

MR. SIEVERS: Scott Sievers on behalf of the respondent, Illinois Environmental Protection Agency.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you.

There are some preliminary matters to discuss before we begin.

First I would like to rule on a couple of outstanding motions.

Respondent's motion for leave to file the record instanter is granted. The motion for extension in time is therefore moot, and petitioner's motion to quash is moot as respondent has withdrawn the notice to appear.

Are there any other preliminary matters to discuss on the record today?

MR. INGERSOLL: I would like to move to strike the document located in the administrative record at pages 136 to 138 which my secretary seemed

Page 6 1 to have missed. 2 Perhaps it's in this version. 3 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: The March 27th 4 letter? 5 MR. INGERSOLL: Correct. 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: From the 7 Agency to Mr. Amar? 8 MR. INGERSOLL: Yes. 9 First of all, this letter was 10 obviously not relied upon for the February 25 11 decision that is the subject of this appeal. 12 didn't exist at the time. The Board's rules at 13 Section 105.410(b)(4) contains a requirement that 14 the record include information that the Agency 1.5 relied upon in making its determination. 16 That would say that the 17 information must have existed prior to the decision. 18 This letter was not. 19 Longstanding Board precedent has 20 held it would not consider evidence not before the 21 Agency prior to its final determination. 22 I would ask that the Board refer to its decisions in the Clarendon Hills PCB 93-55 23 24 and Kathe's Auto Service PCB 95-43 cases for

example.

Further, the Agency does not have the authority to reconsider its final decision. This March 27th letter seems to be a change to the February 25th letter. It would be a correction but that's not something that's authorized. It's another proposition with lengthy and consistent precedent. The Board's case is considering this issue.

All cite back to the Reichhold Chemicals case, 204 Ill. App 3d 674 in 1990.

Board decisions following this precedent extend clear into 2014, and some samples are Clinton County Oil, PCB 91-163 in 1992, Tolles Realty, PCB 93-124 in 1997, and recently in the Estate of Slightom, PCB 11-25 in 2014.

Therefore, Chatham BP requests that this letter dated March 27, 2015 found at pages 136 through 138 of the administrative record on file be stricken from the record.

MR. SIEVERS: Thank you.

May 8th, that's when the record was filed, May 8th. It's May 27th now. Nineteen days the record has been on file, and this is the

first time it's brought up. If there's something that's inappropriate in Chatham BP's mind in that record, clearly, Mr. Ingersoll is reading from something and he could have filed a motion.

Obviously, we could have addressed that long before this hearing.

Section 105.410 of the rules governing the Agency record, Subpart (b)(2) says, one of the things that the record must include, correspondence with the petitioner and any documents or materials submitted by the petitioner to the Agency related to the plan or budget submittal or other request.

Correspondence with the petitioner. That's correspondence with the petitioner.

Chatham BP doesn't want this
Board to see that because it shows that we actually
fixed the problem before they ever filed the
petition for review.

That's really an interesting problem here. What's the hearing about. The problem was resolved before the petition was ever filed. They don't want you to know that. That's a

fact.

This Board can give it the weight it wishes, but we submit that under the provisions of the regulations, that letter should be in the record.

Further, we know from the 90-day extension of time that we can seek that a 90-day extension of time is something that gives the opportunity for the Board or for the Agency to reconsider its decision.

Now, in this case we're not really even reconsidering a decision. As this Board will learn in the course of this hearing, we are simply effectuating this Board's decision. We screwed up. The February 25, 2015 letter, we screwed up, and we fixed it on March 27th. That's the letter he doesn't want you to see, but under the regulations, it should be in the record. The Board should know that we fixed it.

This hearing is moot, but nonetheless, the motion here is trying to keep that from the Board's knowledge.

We would ask the motion to be denied.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I'm going to deny the motion.

There have been some limited circumstances where items that were not specifically in front of the Agency, documents that were not in front of the Agency have been held admissible by the Board such as if it's information that the Agency should have had or information that explains something in the record.

I can't be certain right now without having taken a longer look that this is necessarily something that wouldn't fall within the scope of that so I'm going to deny the motion to strike.

Okay. Are there any other preliminary matters anyone would like to bring up?

Okay. Would petitioner like to make an opening statement?

MR. INGERSOLL: Yes, I would.

I find it difficult to comprehend that the Agency is contending that it is okay to fix a decision that was a mistake, a final decision, by issuing a new decision, reconsideration of its prior decision that had been held outside the

Agency's authority since Reichhold in 1990. That's been the law for the Board.

It would be nice if the parties could get together and fashion a newer letter, a replacement letter for something that was a mistake, but there's no authority to do that at this point in time, and I think even the Board pointed out recently that if that's something that needs to be done, it should be taken up with the legislature, not as a matter of trying to get Board precedent on that point.

And I would concur, it would be nice if we could fix mistakes in such a manner if it were authorized, but it seems like the only way that we have to fix a mistake is to file a petition and have the Board actually reverse the mistaken decision.

It's unfortunate. It's a lot of work. So I appreciate the candor from the Agency that this February 25 letter appears to have been a mistake, but we'll try to plow through it and see if we can understand how it came to be.

> HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. Mr. Sievers, would you like to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

make any opening statement?

MR. SIEVERS: I would.

We did screw up. There's no question about it. The February 25, 2015 letter was a screw-up. It was an error on our part, but the evidence here today will show that when we sent out the February 25, 2015 letter that we screwed up, and the way we did screw up was that we had this Board's decision from December 18, 2014, and the letter was trying to effectuate that decision. We bungled it, okay?

But the fact of the matter is, the Board had fully reversed the Agency on previous matters in the previous Chatham BP litigation. We'd been fully reversed on it, but the letter we sent out February 25th didn't recognize that it was a mistake. It only was aware or acknowledged the reversal of the drum disposal costs in the Board's last substantive decision in the underlying Chatham BP case.

Very smart people at the Agency, and several of them, were awaiting on this, and this letter got out, and it was a mistake. Nonetheless, it got out.

. 11

Page 13

But the evidence here will show that when the error was brought to our attention, we fixed the problem. We fixed the problem. Most importantly, we fixed it before the petition for review was filed in this case.

At the time the petition for review was filed in this case, it was moot.

What relief can you get? None. We've already provided it to them. That's the thing. In this situation, it was resolved before.

Now, maybe he can seek attorneys fees and costs for bringing litigation that was completely and utterly unnecessary, and maybe he'll try to do that, but in this particular case, the Agency acknowledged its mistake, remedied its mistake.

Now, why are we here then?

Well, there's a contention, of course, that we have no authority whatsoever to reconsider decisions.

Well, the fact is we reconsider decisions at time.

Most people after we fix the mistake don't go ahead and sue us. They are happy with the remedy.

You know, if we allow everything to be petitioned for review -- we make a lot of

mistakes and yet any entity with human beings in it does -- if every one has to be appealed to the Board, there will be quite a bit of litigation going on here.

The fact of the matter is we know from case law concerning Section 105.406 concerning the extensions of time to file the petition for review that that period allows for reconsideration decisions.

I'm not saying they filed that here. They could have filed that here. They chose not to. They chose to pull the trigger and file a petition for review.

But the Agency does make, well, reconsideration to try to fix its mistakes, but in reality, this wasn't even the Agency's decision to make. This Board had already decided. This Board had issued its orders. We were simply sending out a letter that was trying to effectuate the Board's order, and we screwed up, but when it was brought to our attention, we sent out a letter that correctly reflected this Board's decision and allowed our mechanism to go forward and say, yeah, we approved the plan, and that's what we've done here.

Page 15 So the question is, why are we here today when the relief requested has already been provided by the Agency. Is it so the petitioner can grind an ax against personnel of the Agency? here so that the counsel can seek attorney's fees that are generated in litigation that only exists to generate attorney's fees? That's the question we have here today. Why are we here since the remedy has already been provided because we know one thing. because it's trying to fix a mistake. That mistake has been fixed. Thank you. HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right. Mr. Ingersoll, you may call your first witness? MR. SIEVERS: Your Honor, at this time we move to exclude witnesses. HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. All of them or --

MR. SIEVERS: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

24

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Denied. I

mean, we're already here. I don't know who's going

Page 16 1 to say what. 2 So, Mr. Ingersoll, you may call 3 your first witness. 4 I'd like to have the witnesses 5 come up here, please, if that's okay. 6 MR. INGERSOLL: Gary Chappel, please. 7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Chappel, 8 can you please have a seat up here. 9 MR. INGERSOLL: And I will note that Mr. Chappel is retiring in I think two more days. 10 11 Is that correct? 12 MR. CHAPPEL: Yes, sir. 13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: 14 Congratulations. 15 MR. SIEVERS: Congratulations. 16 MR. CHAPPEL: Thank you. 17 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Counsel may 18 approach as needed. 19 Would the court reporter please 20 swear in the witness? 21 (Whereupon the witness was sworn 22 by the reporter.) 23 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Please state 24 your name and spell it for the court reporter.

Page 17 1 MR. CHAPPEL: Harry Chappel 2 (C-h-a-p-p-e-1). 3 4 HARRY CHAPPEL 5 called as a witness herein, at the instance of the 6 Petitioner, having been first duly sworn on his 7 oath, was examined and testified as follows: 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. INGERSOLL: 11 0. Would you refer to page 120? 12 an e-mail from you to Eric Goldman in which you 13 discuss you don't think we should be sending a 14 payment approval letter. 15 I'm trying to read between the 16 lines, and it seems like there was some confusion 17 about payment approval. It was payment approval, 18 the appropriate letter, versus budget approval. 19 Objection. Compound MR. SIEVERS: 20 and vague. 21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I'll let you 22 restate that. 23 Q. BY MR. INGERSOLL: Was there some 24 confusion in your mind about whether the Agency was

to be sending a payment approval letter or a budget approval letter?

A. Our responsibilities in my unit involve the approval of budgets. We don't issue approval of payment reimbursement vouchers or actual payment. We just approve the budgets.

Now, from the e-mails, it looked to me like we were talking about issuing a payment approval letter I guess, but from my and Eric's standpoint, all we had authority to do was to approve the budget in accordance with the Board order that was issued.

Q. Okay. And you said from e-mails you got the impression that people wanted you to issue a payment approval letter?

MR. SIEVERS: Objection.

Mischaracterizes the testimony. He didn't say he got the impression they wanted him to issue something.

MR. INGERSOLL: Could you read back what he did say?

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, I didn't hear the objection.

MR. SIEVERS: I'm sorry. The

objection was there was a mischaracterization of the testimony.

The witness did not testify that he was under the impression that he was supposed to be issuing a payment letter, that people were giving him the impression.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Would you read back the witness's statement for Mr. Ingersoll?

(The reporter read back the requested portion of the record.)

- Q. BY MR. INGERSOLL: Okay. So some e-mail that you had seen apparently showed an intent to issue a payment approval letter?
- A. It looked, as best I can recall, and most of it is from the e-mail, it looked like someone was asking Eric to issue a payment approval letter.

That is not Eric's job. It's not my job.

So my only comment in this e-mail was, we can't issue a reimbursement approval letter. We can only issue a letter revising our original decision in accordance with the Board's

Page 20 1 decision on the drum cost. 2 And that's what we did. 3 0. Okay. Do you think that -- do you believe that the final version of the February 25 4 5 letter was a mistake? 6 Α. I'd have to look at the February 25 7 letter. 8 MR. SIEVERS: It's 130. 9 Α. Yes, it was an error. 10 MR. INGERSOLL: Okay. Thank you. 11 I have nothing further. 12 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Sievers? MR. SIEVERS: Permission to go beyond 13 14 the scope for the sake of expediency? 15 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Granted. 16 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. SIEVERS: 19 0. Mr. Chappel, are you employed? 20 Yes, I am. Α. 21 Where are you employed? Q. 22 Α. . Illinois Environmental Protection 23 Agency. 24 Q. What's your title?

Page 21 1 A. Unit manager. 2 0. Which unit? 3 Leaking Underground Storage Tank Α.-4 Section, Bureau of Land. 5 Q. What do your duties include, in a nutshell? 6 7 A. I manage a group of nine people 8 involved in the review and approval or denial for 9 compliance with the underground storage tank 10 regulations in Illinois. 11 Who is Brian Bauer? Q. 12 Α. Brian Bauer is one of my employees. 13 Q. Who is Eric Kuhlman? 14 One of my employees. Α. 15 Q. Do you supervise both? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. Are you familiar with the Chatham BP 18 site? 19 Α. Yes, in general. 20 Today as you sit here, are you aware 0. 21 that there was previous litigation before the Board 22 concerning the Chatham BP site? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. In that first litigation, did you

Page 22 1 testify? 2 Α. No. 3 Q. Were you involved in that litigation in any way? 4 5 Α. No. 6 Q. I'm going to call your attention to 7 page 112 of the packet you have there. 8 For the record, I'm referring to the administrative record I provided to 9 10 Mr. Ingersoll. 11 I want you to look at pages 112, 12 113, 114 and 115. 13 Do you recognize those pages? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Do you recognize that to be a 16 Pollution Control Board order? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And was that order, December 18, 19 2014, the subject of subsequent discussion with Eric 20 Kuhlman? 21 A. Yes. 22 0. Did your discussion occur by e-mail? 23 A. I don't recall if we actually had any 24 conversations in my office. I think most of it was

Page 23
ges 116
chose?

by e-mail.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q. I'll call your attention to pages 116 through 126 of the record.

Would you briefly review those?

- A. 116 through what?
- Q. 126.
- A. Yes. That looks like e-mails between various employees.
- Q. And those e-mails concern the implementation of the December 18, 2014 PCB order, correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. You instructed Eric Kuhlman to take the May 28, 2013 Illinois EPA letter that was the subject of the previous Chatham BP litigation and revise it, isn't that right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. And the instruction you had is set forth on page 116 of those e-mails, isn't that right?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. You suggested just revising it to include or to change the way it addressed the drum disposal costs?

Page 24 1 A. Right, the subject of the Board order 2 I saw. 3 Q. At that time, January 29, 2015, were 4 you aware that the Board had fully reversed Illinois 5 EPA's May 28, 2013 decision letter? 6 A. No. 7 0. Had you been aware of that, would 8 your instructions have been different? 9 A. Yes. 10 0. I'll call your attention to page 130 11 of the record, pages 130 through 135. 12 Do you recognize that document? 13 Α. I believe this is the letter I 14 signed changing or approving the drum disposal costs 15 based on the Board order. 16 And that was a letter that was 17 generated as a result of your instruction to Eric Kuhlman as set forth in those e-mails? 18 19 Α. Correct. 20 Q. And that letter was mistaken, isn't 21 that correct? 22 Α. Correct. 23 Now, the mistake in that February 25, 2015 letter, that was subsequently brought to your 24

Page 25 1 attention? 2 Α. Yes. 3 0. Did you work to fix that mistake? 4 A. Yes. 5 Q. Did you understand that you had fixed 6 the mistake? 7 Α. Yes. 8 0. I'll call your attention to page 136 of the record, 136 through page 138. 9 10 Do you recognize that document? 11 Α. It's a subsequent letter I 12 believe I signed, yes. 13 0. Is that the letter that you 14 understood fixed the mistake of the February 25, 15 2015 letter? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Q. That's dated March 27, 2015, is that 18 right? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 0. Is it your understanding that letter 21 would have been sent out on or about that date? 22 Α. Yes. 23 Q. Are you familiar with a site known as 24 Scenic Ridge Plaza?

Page 26 1 A. In general, yes. 2 Who is or was Jackie Sullivan? Q. 3 He was a leaking tank owner-operator. Α. 4 Q. And he died in 2012? 5 I believe -- I'm not sure of the Α. 6 exact date, but yes, I believe he did. 7 Q. Do you have any personal bias toward 8 or ax to grind against Chatham BP? 9 Α. No. 10 Q. Do you have any reason to believe 11 that Eric Kuhlman does? 12 Α. No. 13 Q. Do you have any personal bias toward 14 or ax to grind towards CW3M? 15 A. No. 16 0. Do you have any reason to believe 17 Eric Kuhlman does? 18 A. No. 19 MR. SIEVERS: I have nothing further of this witness. 20 21 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Ingersoll, 22 do you have anything further of this witness? 23 MR. INGERSOLL: Yes, please. 24

1		REDIRECT	EXAMINATION
2	BY MR.	INGERSOLL:	

BY MR. INGERSOLL:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

0. The letter that starts at page 136 of the record, it has a date of March 27, 2015, and I think counsel has implicated in argument that the petitioner had this document and filed a petition despite having this document.

Do you know when this letter was delivered?

Α. No, I don't. I don't have the postmark here.

It was sent certified mail so there should be a certified mail receipt, but I don't see it here.

- Q. Well, March 27th was on a Friday. Do you think that this was delivered before Monday?
- A. I would have no way of knowing. I don't know.
- 0. The Agency does, in fact, have that green card in its files, does it not?
- A. I don't know. I haven't looked for It should have. It's certified mail. it.

MR. INGERSOLL: Given counsel's

arguments, it seems like the date of delivery would be somewhat important to his contention that the petitioner filed a petition in spite of an Agency effort to fix the problem.

MR. SIEVERS: Is this a question for the witness?

MR. INGERSOLL: It's just a comment.

MR. SIEVERS: I object to it and move

to strike it.

1

2

3

4

. 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Please reserve comments for post-hearing briefs. Let's just stick to witness questions, please.

MR. INGERSOLL: How about this.

Could we request one of the EPA's employees to go to the file room, which is right over on this floor, and locate that green card?

MR. SIEVERS: I certainly object to that. If there was a concern about what was in the record, the record was filed May 8th. He certainly could have requested that and could have moved for production of some document like that.

This is the first we're hearing about this. We even had preliminary motions beforehand and that wasn't raised.

Page 29 1 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I'm going to 2 deny that request. 3 MR. SIEVERS: Can we go off the 4 record for a moment? 5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: 6 We're off the record. 7 (Whereupon an off-the-record 8 discussion transpired at this 9 time.) 10 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Ingersoll, 11 do you have any further questions for this witness? MR. INGERSOLL: No, I don't believe 12 13 so. Thank you. 14 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Do you have 15 anything further, Mr. Sievers? 16 MR. SIEVERS: I don't. 17 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you, 18 Mr. Chappel. 19 (Witness excused.) 20 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Ingersoll, 21 you may call your next witness. 22 MR. INGERSOLL: I would like to call 23 Eric Kuhlman. 24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Would you have

Page 30 1 a seat up here, please, Mr. Kuhlman? 2 Please state your name and spell 3 it for the court reporter. 4 MR. KUHLMAN: Eric Kuhlman; E-r-i-c 5 K-u-h-1-m-a-n. 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: And would the 7 court reporter please swear in the witness? 8 (Whereupon the witness was sworn 9 by the reporter.) 10 11 ERIC KUHLMAN 12 called as a witness herein, at the instance of the 13 Petitioner, having been first duly sworn on his 14 oath, was examined and testified as follows: 15 16 DIRECT EXAMINATION 17 BY MR. INGERSOLL: 18 Q. Mr. Kuhlman, what's your position with the Illinois EPA? 19 20 I'm an Environmental Protection 21 Engineer III. 22 0. And what is your responsibility 23 relative to the Chatham BP site? 24 I review the technical documentation Α.

31

•	Page
1	submitted for that site.
2	Q. Okay. So you're the project
3	reviewer, and you then provide your recommendation
4	to Mr. Chappel?
5	A. Yes, I do.
6	Q. And Mr. Chappel is the one that ends
7	up signing the letters?
8	A. He does.
9	Q. Okay. Would you look at the record,
10	at the e-mail. There's several e-mail strings
11	starting on page 116, and there are duplicates
12	because I assume that they were printed from
13	different persons' e-mail accounts.
14	On January 28, 2015 both at
15	2:49 p.m. and at 4:28 p.m., you were asking for an
16	example of how a letter should look.
17	I assume the letter that you
18	want would be writing a response or decision letter
19	to Chatham BP?
20	A. Yes.
21	Q. And in response to that, those
22	inquiries, did anybody send you a letter?
23	A. Yes.

24

Q.

How?

Page 32 1 Α. Through e-mail, PDF file. 2 0. Which one of these e-mails is it 3 attached to? 4 Α. I don't know that offhand. 5 I know that none of these e-mails 0. 6 have an indication from Outlook that it has an attachment unless the fact that there's an 7 8 attachment is covered by the attorney-client claim. 9 In the certification of the 10 record that you signed, pages 127 to 129, you 11 identify that document in your index as a January 20, 2015 Illinois EPA draft letter. 12 13 So who drafted this draft? 14 MR. SIEVERS: Objection. Vague. 15 MR. INGERSOLL: What? 16 MR. SIEVERS: Are you referring to 17 the document on page 127? 18 MR. INGERSOLL: Yes. 19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Does yours 20 say -- should it say draft? 21 MR. INGERSOLL: It doesn't say draft 22 on the document. 23 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. That's 24 all.

MR. INGERSOLL: It says draft on his index that's on the front page. That's how he describes it is an index.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you repeat the question?

MR. INGERSOLL: Yes.

Q. Who drafted this letter, this draft letter? Who prepared it?

#### A. I did.

Q. And how do you know that this letter should be dated January 20th?

### A. Which letter are you referring to?

Q. This letter at page 127 of the record that you describe in the index as a January 20, 2015 draft letter.

#### A. Offhand, I don't know.

Q. Just taking a quick look through the e-mails that are in the record, the only e-mails from you on January 20th are either empty or they have -- they're empty by way of a complete redaction as attorney-client privilege.

MR. SIEVERS: I move to strike counsel's testimony.

HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yeah.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Mr. Ingersoll, I'm getting a little lost myself.

MR. INGERSOLL: Okay.

Q. Given, Mr. Kuhlman, that we can't find any January 20th e-mail that seems to provide a draft letter, which e-mail was this attached to?

MR. SIEVERS: Objection.

Argumentative. Compound. Complex. And also, the record actually shows there is a January 28th e-mail.

MR. INGERSOLL: There's several January 28th e-mails. They just have attorney-client privilege and no information.

MR. SIEVERS: That doesn't mean there's not something there. That means it's been redacted.

MR. INGERSOLL: I don't know how you can -- I will take it up in briefing. I will raise the issue of having attorney-client claimed information be documentary support for an Agency decision.

Q. Mr. Kuhlman, since you are signatory on the certification, does that mean that you believe that these are the only documents that were used in the consideration of this letter?

Page 35 MR. SIEVERS: 1 Objection. Vaque. 2 MR. INGERSOLL: I'll try to rephrase. 3 0. Mr. Kuhlman, you prepared the record, 4 did you not? 5 I did. Α. · Q. 6 You certified the items that were in 7 there? 8 Α. I did. 9 Q. Do you intend that that certification 10 indicate that those are the documents that you 11 reviewed? 12 A. To the best of my knowledge, they 13 are. 14 Q. Okay. Did you realize that there are only one of the Board orders from PCB 14-1 in this 15 16 record? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Please refer to this one Board order 19 that you put in the record where on pages 112, 113 20 and 115, the Board's order of January 9, 2014 is 21 mentioned as even found in the ultimate order. 22 Did you notice that there were 23 references to January 9, 2014? 24 A. Is that on page 15?

		Page 36
1	Q.	It's on pages 112, 113, 115.
2	A.	No, I did not.
3		MR. INGERSOLL: Okay. No further
4	questions.	
5		HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Sievers?
6		MR. SIEVERS: Permission to go beyond
7	the scope?	
8		HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Granted.
9		
10		CROSS-EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. SIEVERS	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
12	Q.	Mr. Kuhlman, you're employed at the
13	Illinois EPA?	
14	A.	Yes.
15	Q.	In the LUST Section?
16	<b>A.</b>	Correct.
17	Q.	And you're the project manager of the
18	Chatham BP site	e, is that right?
19	A.	I am.
20	Q.	I call your attention to page 130 of
21	the record, 13	through 135.
22		Do you recognize that document?
23	A.	I do.
24	Q.	Is that document the February 25,

		Page 37
1	2015 letter th	at is the subject of this appeal?
2	A.	It is.
3	Ω.	Now, who wrote that document?
4	A.	I did.
5	Q.	Now, who is Brian Bauer?
6	A.	Brian Bauer is a colleague. He works
7	in LUST claims	•
8	Q.	Harry Chappel is your supervisor?
9	<b>A</b> .	Yes.
10	Q.	He gives you instructions and
11	directions at	times?
12	<b>A</b> .	He does.
13	Q.	And when he does, you're supposed to
14	follow them?	
15	A.	Correct.
16	Q.	Did he give you instructions or
17	directions tha	t resulted in this February 15, 2015
18	letter?	
19	A.	I'm sure he did.
20	Q.	I call your attention to page 116 of
21	the record.	
22		On pages 116 through 126 of the
23	record, those	are e-mails, is that right?
24	A.	Yes.

Q.	They	concer	n what	to	do abou	ıt the
Board's Decembe	er 18,	2014	letter	or	order,	isn't
that right?						

## A. Yes.

Q. And on January 29th, your supervisor, Harry Chappel, gave you instructions on what to do, isn't that right?

#### A. He did.

Q. Would it be accurate to say then that what you did was you took the May 28, 2013 decision letter that was the subject of the previous Chatham BP litigation, and the only thing you changed in issuing it for the February 25, 2015 letter was the drum disposal costs and the date?

### A. Yes.

Q. And that was at the direction of Mr. Chappel?

# A. Yes, it was.

Q. Now, you were aware at the time this letter was issued that the Board had fully reversed the Agency in that previous litigation with Chatham BP, correct?

## A. I was.

Q. Did you believe that Harry Chappel

Page 39 1 was aware of that as well? 2 I thought so at the time. 3 Q. Did you later learn that that was not 4 the case? 5 I did. Α. 6 Q. At some point, did you learn that the 7 February 25, 2015 letter was a mistake? 8 Α. Yes, I did. 9 Q. At that point, did you agree to work to fix that mistake? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. And did you fix that mistake? 13 I believe so. Α. 14 Q. I call your attention to page 136 of 15 the record, 136 through 138. 16 Do you recognize that document? 17 Α. I do. 18 Q. Is that a letter that you understood 19 aimed to remedy the mistake of the February 25, 2015 20 letter? 21 Α. Yes. 22 0. Now, who wrote that letter? 23 A. Brian Bauer. 24 Do you have any personal bias toward Q.

Page 40 1 or ax to grind against Chatham BP? 2 Α. No. 3 0. Do you have any reason to believe 4 that Harry Chappel does? 5 Α. No. 6 0. Do you have any personal bias toward 7 or ax to grind against CW3M? 8 Α. No. 9 0. Do you have any reason to believe 10 that Harry Chappel does? 11 Α. No. 12 Q. Now, you certified the record in this 13 case. 14 When you prepared the 15 February 25, 2015 letter, did you review the 16 entirety of the record for the previous Chatham BP 17 litigation? 18 Α. No. 19 Was the December 18, 2014 Board order 20 essentially what prompted the February 25, 2015 21 letter? 22 It was. Α. 23 Q. If there's a certified mail receipt 24 out there in the possession of the Illinois EPA that

Page 41 1 shows when Chatham BP received the March 27, 2015 2 letter, are you aware of that? 3 No, I'm not. Α. 4 Q. You don't deny it might exist. 5 Α. I'm sure it does. 6 Q. And at the time you prepared the 7 certification, were you aware -- you thought this certification was complete to the best of your 8 9 knowledge and ability? 10 I did. Α. 11 MR. SIEVERS: I have nothing further. 12 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. 13 Mr. Ingersoll, do you have 14 anything further for this witness? 15 MR. INGERSOLL: No. 16 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Thank you. 17 (Witness excused.) 18 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Ingersoll, 19 you may call your next witness. 20 MR. INGERSOLL: I have no more 21 witnesses. 22 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Do you have 23 anything else you would like to present at this 24 time?

Page 42 1 MR. INGERSOLL: No. Thank you. 2 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Sievers, 3 do you have any witnesses you would like to call? 4 MR. SIEVERS: Yes. I'd like to call 5 Carol Rowe. 6 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Could you 7 please state your name and spell it for the court 8 reporter. 9 THE WITNESS: Carol (C-a-r-o-l) Rowe 10 (R-o-w-e). 11 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: And would the 12 court reporter please swear in the witness. 13 (Whereupon the witness was sworn 14 by the reporter.) 15 MR. SIEVERS: Good morning, Ms. Rowe. 16 MS. ROWE: Good morning. 17 CAROL ROWE called as a witness herein, at the instance of the 18 19 Respondent, having been first duly sworn on her 20 oath, was examined and testified as follows: 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MR. SIEVERS: 24 Q. What is CW3M Company?

Page 43 1 Environmental processing/consulting A. 2 firm. 3 And do you have a professional Q. 4 relationship with that company? 5 Α. Yes. And what is that relationship? 6 0. 7 Α. President. 8 Q. Are you an employee of the company 9 then? 10 Yes, sir. A. 11 Q. Are you an officer as well? 12 A. Yes, sir. 13 Q. And Chatham BP contracted with CW3M for work at the Chatham BP site? 14 15 A. Correct. 16 So Chatham BP is CW3M's client? Q. 17 A. Correct. 18 Q. And you consult with Chatham BP on 19 decisions affecting the Chatham BP site? 20 A. Correct. 21 Q. Who do you most commonly consult with 22 at Chatham has been? 23 A. Shamsir Amir; S-h-a-m-s-i-r A-m-i-r. 24 I think his actual name is a

Page 44 1 little bit different. I'd have to look at it. 2 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I can give you 3 that. It's S-h-a-m-s-h-e-r A-m-a-r. 4 MR. SIEVERS: Permission to approach? 5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes. 6 Q. BY MR. SIEVERS: Ms. Rowe, I'm 7 handing you a document dated May 28, 2013. 8 Do you recognize that document? 9 I object. It bears MR. INGERSOLL: 10 no relevance in this matter. This letter is a 11 decision letter that was litigated completely in the 12 matter of PCB 14-1. 13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Overruled. 14 0. BY MR. SIEVERS: Ms. Rowe, do you 15 recognize that letter to be the decision letter that 16 was the subject of the previous Chatham BP 17 litigation? 18 A. Probably. I can go back and look at 19 the old one. 20 Do you have any reason to believe 0. 21 it's not? 22 Α. No. 23 MR. SIEVERS: Respondent moves for judicial notice of this document. 24 It is filed with

Page 45 1 the Board under PCB 2014-001 on July 1, 2013 2 attached to the petition for review in the 3 underlying case. HEARING OFFICER WEBB: It's part of 4 5 the record for PCB 14-1? 6 MR. SIEVERS: That's correct. 7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Do you happen 8 to know the page number of that record? 9 MR. SIEVERS: I don't know the page 10 number of the record. It's an attachment to the 11 petition for review. 12 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Oh, okay. 13 MR. SIEVERS: If you'd like, I could 14 mark it with an exhibit label, and we could 15 introduce it if that would help. 16 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yeah, why 17 don't we do that. MR. SIEVERS: Sure. 18 19 Here's the one that I've marked 20 as Exhibit A, and we would just ask that the Board 21 take judicial notice that this is the decision 22 letter identified by Chatham BP as the subject of 23 2014-001. 24 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. We can

Page 46 take notice of that. 1 2 BY MR. SIEVERS: Ms. Rowe, you 3 understood in that previous litigation brought by Chatham BP that the Pollution Control Board fully 4 5 reversed the Agency on that decision letter? 6 A. Correct. 7 Q. I'm going to call your attention now 8 to page 130 of the record through page 135. 9 Do you recognize that document? 10 A. Yes. 11 0. Now, except for the drum disposal 12 costs, this document beginning at page 130 of the 13 record, it directly contradicts the Board's decision 14 reversing Illinois EPA's May 28, 2013 letter, 15 correct? 16 Α. Correct. 17 Q. And you received this February 25, 18 2015 letter, correct? 19 Α. Correct. 20 You read it? Q. 21 Α. Correct. 22 You knew it was a mistake? Q. 23 Α. Well, initially I didn't know what it

was, but we assumed something was wrong or didn't

1 really understand what it was initially.

Well, and we had also submitted another plan at that time too, so we didn't know if there was some mix-up between that plan and a review of the budget for the Board reversal plan.

So initially we weren't sure what it was.

- Q. Okay. If it wasn't a mistake, what did you think it might be?
  - A. A review of something else.

But the very first line, by order of the Board, we assumed, after looking at it for a while, well, this should be related to review of the budget.

- Q. It appeared to you to be at least kind of screwed up I would say?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, you subsequently -- -- well, strike that.

At some point, did you make a decision to file the petition for review in this case?

A. Yes, we did. Many times we're told a final decision cannot be reversed, like we have to

make some other submittal, some other action in order to make that change.

Mr. Ingersoll was trying to work with you. He was getting ready to leave town. The clock was running out, so the petition was filed.

- Q. Did you confer with Mr. Amar about filing the petition for review?
- A. We've had some recent conversations with him. We knew that the cases had been reversed. We already had done the Stage II investigation to keep things moving because he was like, hey, come on guys, let's get this going.

So he knew that we had some things in the works to try to get this ball rolling.

- Q. But prior to the filing of petition for review in this case, had you consulted with Mr. Amar about filing the petition for review?
- A. This exact one, I'm not sure I was talking to him during that timeframe. He knew that we had this going on, and the other one being settled and trying to get Stage II done and get on with the Stage III, so I had conversations with him.

The filing of this one was a last minute, Bill is going out of town, what are we

going to do. So it was pretty sudden.

I mean, honestly, we don't really like to file these, but we settle quite often, and this one was like, okay, I guess we've got to do something here.

- Q. Well, what do you mean by you settle quite often?
- A. Oh, there's a lot of times that appeals get filed, you reach some kind of settlement, and you walk away from them. You reach some kind of mutual conclusion, and everybody kind of walks away.
  - Q. You mean with the Agency?
  - A. With the Agency, yes, of course.
  - Q. That's common?
- A. Yes. I mean, I would just like to say, in a lot of these cases, you know, we incur huge legal expenses, and you always have to make a decision. Do you take it to the Board? Do you settle it and you wipe away those legal expenses? What's the best course of action.

And when you have something small like this and all of a sudden you have a big legal bill, what do you do? And it's always a best

judgment call of what to do with it.

And in this case, it was just we had to file.

You know, I understand mistakes happen, and it's nothing personal. It's nothing, I mean, there's no animosity here. It was just how do we fix this. And we didn't think that we could get a reversal. Then we get the letter in, but then we're knee deep in this thing. So how do we come to some conclusion.

And, like I said, often times we settle, but in this case, we'd had a lot of dollars on the table already, so this was the course of action that we took.

Q. Let me bring your attention to pages 136 through 138 in the record.

Do you recognize that letter?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Now, is that the letter that you received sometime around at least the time of petition for review is filed?
- A. Yeah. We received this after the filing.
  - Q. Okay. And did you understand this

letter to effectuate the Pollution Control Board's order in the previous Chatham BP litigation; that is, to fully reverse the Agency on its previous decision letter of May 28, 2013?

- A. Yes. We understood that the Agency was trying to correct a mistake, but then there was a legal question of can a final decision just be reversed, and that's for attorneys, and I'm not in your world.
  - Q. And for these folks to decide?
  - A. Yeah, for these folks.

And we do this all the time with the Agency. If there's a final decision letter written and even with the Agency, if we need to make some corrections, modifications, we resubmit something in order to reverse that letter.

There's always some action in order to do that.

So there was some question of is this really okay to do this, and so we let this process continue.

Q. But the relief that you were seeking at least with your petition for review, setting aside the attorney's fees and cost issue, you fully

received that relief in this March 27, 2015 letter, correct?

- A. If it's all good with everybody, legal, okay, but, I mean, the Stage I costs had already been in the previous letter, and then the Stage II work, we had already went ahead and proceeded because our client was needing us to get the work done.
  - Q. Well, let me --

A. So there's no actual -- it's a budget, you know, not actual like reimbursement costs like these guys spoke to earlier.

But if you're speaking in terms of relief from the Board order and reversing those costs, yes, as long as this stands and it can reverse the final decision, and that's where the legal.

- Q. Well, my question is, since the petition for review concerns the February 25, 2015 letter, is there anything that Chatham BP complains about of that letter that hasn't been remedied in the March 27, 2015 letter?
- MR. INGERSOLL: Objection. You're asking her for a legal conclusion as to its

Page 53 1 effectiveness. 2 MR. SIEVERS: No. I'm asking for the 3 relief she's wanting. 4 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: You can 5 answer. 6 THE WITNESS: No. I think it takes 7 care of the Board issues and the budget issues that 8 we were looking for. 9 BY MR. SIEVERS: After that 0. 10 February 25, 2015 letter was issued or sent out by Illinois EPA -- oh, strike that. Let me back up a 11 12 moment. 13 You represent Chatham BP, 14 correct? 15 Α. Correct. 16 Q. And are you here today to speak on 17 behalf of Chatham BP? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. So you're Chatham BP's agent or 20 representative today? 21 Α. He was not able to be here today so I 22 was requested to be here. 23 Q. But CW3M aren't parties to this 24 litigation, correct? I mean, Chatham BP is the

Page 54 1 party? 2 A. Chatham BP is the party. 3 0. Okay. And CW3M is not a party, 4 correct? 5 A. No. 6 After that February 25, 2015 letter 0. 7 was sent, did Chatham BP or CW3M contact the Agency 8 about the grounds for that letter? 9 A. Through legal counsel. 10 0. And I don't want to get into your 11 communications with your attorney. 12 A. Right. 13 0. Other than through communications 14 with your attorney, were you aware of the status of 15 communications at any time with Illinois EPA 16 concerning the subject that is February 25, 2015 17 letter? 18 A. Not to my knowledge. 19 We were working on a second plan 20 post this time. I'm not sure if it was during this 21 So one of our engineers could have been in

I don't know if it was this one.

22

23

24

contact with Eric.

	Page 55
1	the Board decision, and we think most of this would
2	have been through legal counsel, but if there was
3	something else, I'm not really aware of it.
4	Q. And Chatham BP through your company,
5	CW3M, has submitted additional documents after the
6	February 25, 2015 decision letter in this case for
.7	related but different matters, isn't that right?
8	A. Correct.
9	Q. So there's another submittal that's
10	pending, is that right?
11	A. Yeah, I think there was just a
12	different letter, issue pending.
13	Q. You're familiar with Harry Chappel?
14	A. Yes, sir.
15	Q. How about Brian Bauer?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. And how do you know them?
18	A. Well, Harry, I think we worked at the
19	Agency together way back in the day.
20	I've been doing this for 25
21	years so I've been around these guys for a long

Do you understand that Mr. Chappel Q. signed the February 25, 2015 EPA letter?

22

23

24

time.

1	A.	Yes.

Q. And have you seen the e-mails that are in the record where Agency staff are discussing what to do in the results in the February 25, 2015 letter?

# A. Yes.

Q. From those e-mails, are you aware that both Brian Bauer and Harry Chappel were involved in those discussions?

### A. Yes.

Q. Do you have a personal bias or an ax to grind towards Harry Chappel?

### A. No.

- Q. Do you have a personal bias or ax to grind towards Brian Bauer?
- A. I don't think he likes CW3M too much but, you know, we stay professional. We deal with one site at a time in a professional manner, and that's what we're supposed to do.
- Q. Isn't it correct that you have written that it is a priority in lobbying the Rauner administration for the removal of Brian Bauer and Harry Chappel to other programs or even other agencies?

Page 57 1 MR. INGERSOLL: Objection. 2 MR. SIEVERS: She just testified as 3 to one thing, having no bias, and I am here now going to impeach her on that point. 4 5 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. I'11 6 allow it. 7 MR. SIEVERS: Isn't that correct? THE WITNESS: 8 What I have to do 9 day-to-day I deal with day-to-day. It doesn't bias 10 what I have to do day-to-day. 11 What I think of a program's 12 administration is entirely different. 13 0. I'm handing you what has been 14 previously marked as Illinois EPA Exhibit B. Take a 15 moment to review that. 16 Who is Marvin Johnson? 17 A. He's with Chase Environmental. 18 Q. And who is Rus Goodiel 19 (G-o-o-d-i-e-1)? 20 He's with Chase Environmental. A. 21 0. Isn't it correct that in response to 22 Mr. Goodiel's e-mail, you wrote on January 13, 2015 23 of lobbying priorities on the LUST section staffing,

removal of antagonistic and targeting staff (Bauer,

Page 58 Chappel, Weller) to other programs or agencies. 1 2 Take cause of ruining consultants and owner 3 operators personally. 4 Isn't that correct? 5 Α. Where are you reading? 6 Q. Page 3 of Exhibit B. 7 (Pause) 8 Α. It doesn't mean that day-to-day we 9 don't --10 Q. That's not my question. 11 Didn't you write that? 12 Yeah. A. 13 MR. INGERSOLL: I'm going to have to 14 object to any continuing examination along this 15 It seems to have nothing to do with the 16 analysis of the Board's orders. 17 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Well, I'm 18 going to sustain it to the point where -- you know, 19 let's keep it -- I'm not exactly sure where it ties 20 back in but... 21 MR. SIEVERS: I have nothing further 22 on this line so... 23 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. All 24 right.

Page 59 1 MR. SIEVERS: Can I have a moment to 2 confer with my client? 3 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes. 4 We'll go off the record for a 5 minute. 6 (Off the record) 7 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. We'll 8 go back on the record, please. 9 MR. SIEVERS: Nothing further of this 10 witness. 11 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Ingersoll? 12 MR. INGERSOLL: Nothing. 13 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Okay. Thank 14 you. 15 (Witness excused.) 16 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Do you have 17 another witness? 18 MR. SIEVERS: I do not. 19 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: What are we 20 doing with this? 21 MR. SIEVERS: I'd like for Exhibit B 22 to be admitted into evidence, and Exhibit A was 23 already -- I'd like to move that for judicial 24 notice.

1 MR. INGERSOLL: I would object to the 2 admission of Exhibit B as far as relevance to the 3 analysis that took place in this matter at hand. 4 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: I agree. 5 not going to admit this, but I will take it as an 6 offer of proof if you'd like me to do that. 7 MR. SIEVERS: I would. Thank you. 8 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: All right. 9 Exhibit B offered. 10 That's all for you. 11 MR. SIEVERS: Yes. 12 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Mr. Ingersoll, 13 are you going to make any closing statement today? 14 MR. INGERSOLL: No, not if we're 15 going to set a briefing schedule. 16 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: Yes, we are. 17 Mr. Sievers, do you have any closing statement you'd like to make today? 18 19 MR. SIEVERS: No. Thank you though. 20 HEARING OFFICER WEBB: The transcript 21 is due by June 8th and will be posted on the Board's 22 website. 2.3 The public comment deadline is

24

June 10th.

Page 61 Public comment must be filed in 1 2 accordance with Section 101.628 of the Board's 3 procedural rules. 4 Petitioner's brief is due by 5 June 16th, and respondent's brief will be due by June 23rd. 6 7 If no one has anything further 8 at this time, I will conclude the proceedings, and 9 we stand adjourned. 10 Thank you everyone. 11 (Which were all of the 12 proceedings held at this time.) 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

Page 62 1 STATE OF ILLINOIS ) )SS. 2 COUNTY OF SANGAMON) 3 4 CERTIFICATE 5 I, Laurel A. Patkes, Certified Shorthand 6 Reporter in and for said County and State, do hereby 7 certify that I reported in shorthand the foregoing 8 proceedings and that the foregoing is a true and 9 correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken as aforesaid. 10 11 I further certify that I am in no way associated with or related to any of the parties or 12 13 attorneys involved herein, nor am I financially 14 interested in this action. 15 Dated June 1, 2015. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22

23

A	56:3	21:8	aware 12:17	<b>bit</b> 14:3 44:1
A-m-a-r 44:3	Agency's 4:12	approve 18:6,11	21:20 24:4,7	<b>Board</b> 1:2 2:1
A-m-i-r 43:23	11:1 14:16	approved 14:23	38:19 39:1	4:8,16 6:19,22
<b>a.m</b> 1:11 4:6	agent 53:19	approving 24:14	41:2,7 54:14	7:12 8:18 9:2,9
<b>ability</b> 41:9	agree 39:9 60:4	argument 27:5	55:3 56:7	9:12,18 10:7
able 53:21	ahead 13:21	Argumentative	ax 15:5 26:8,14	11:2,7,10,16
accounts 31:13	52:6	34:7	40:1,7 56:11	12:13 14:3,17
accurate 38:9	aimed 39:19	arguments 28:1	56:14	14:17 18:11
acknowledged	allow 13:23 57:6	aside 51:24		21:21 22:16
12:17 13:15	allowed 14:22	asking 19:17	B	24:1,4,15
Act 4:22	allows 14:8	31:15 52:24	<b>b</b> 2:9 3:18 8:8	35:15,18 38:20
1	Amar 6:7 48:6	53:2	57:14 58:6	40:19 45:1,20
action 48:1	48:17	Assistant 2:10	59:21 60:2,9	46:4 47:5,12
49:21 50:14	Amir 43:23	associated 62:12	back 7:10 18:20	49:19 52:14
51:17 62:14	analysis 58:16	assume 31:12,17	19:8,10 44:18	53:7 55:1
actual 18:5	60:3	l '	53:11 55:19	
43:24 52:10,11		assumed 46:24	58:20 59:8	Board's 4:22,24
additional 55:5	animosity 50:6	47:12	ball 48:14	6:12 7:8 9:14
addressed 8:5	answer 53:5	attached 32:3	based 24:15	9:22 12:8,18
23:23	antagonistic	34:5 45:2		14:19,22 19:24
adjourned 61:9	57:24	attachment 32:7	Bauer 21:11,12	35:20 38:2
administration	anybody 31:22	32:8 45:10	37:5,6 39:23	46:13 51:1
56:22 57:12	<b>App</b> 7:11	attention 13:2	55:15 56:8,15	58:16 60:21
administrative	apparently	14:21 22:6	56:22 57:24	61:2
5:23 7:19 22:9	19:13	23:2 24:10	bears 44:9	<b>BP</b> 1:3 4:4 5:6
admissible 10:6	appeal 6:11 37:1	25:1,8 36:20	beginning 4:5	7:17 8:17
admission 60:2	appealed 14:2	37:20 39:14	46:12	12:14,20 21:17
admit 60:5	appeals 49:9	46:7 50:15	behalf 2:8,13	21:22 23:15
admitted 3:17	appear 5:19	attorney 54:11	5:5,7 53:17	26:8 30:23
59:22	appearances 2:4	54:14	beings 14:1	31:19 36:18
aforesaid 62:10	5:2	attorney's 15:6	believe 20:4	38:12,22 40:1
agencies 56:24	appeared 47:15	15:8 51:24	24:13 25:12	40:16 41:1
58:1	appearing 2:8	attorney-client	26:5,6,10,16	43:13,14,16,18
<b>Agency</b> 1:6 5:9	2:13	32:8 33:21	29:12 34:23	43:19 44:16
6:7,14,21 7:2	appears 11:20	34:12,18	38:24 39:13	45:22 46:4
8:8,12 9:9 10:5	appointed 1:13	attorneys 13:11	40:3,9 44:20	51:2 52:20
1 '	appreciate	51:8 62:13	best 19:15 35:12	53:13,17,24
10:6,7,21	11:19	authority 7:3	41:8 49:21,24	54:2,7 55:4
11:19 12:13,21	approach 16:18	11:1,6 13:19	beyond 20:13	<b>BP's</b> 8:2 53:19
13:15 14:14	44:4	18:10	36:6	<b>Brian</b> 21:11,12
15:3,5 17:24	appropriate	authorized 7:6	bias 26:7,13	37:5,6 39:23
20:23 27:20	17:18	11:14	39:24 40:6	55:15 56:8,15
28:3 34:19	approval 17:14	Auto 6:24	56:11,14 57:3	56:22
38:21 46:5			57:9	1
49:13,14 51:3	17:17,17,18	Ave 2:11	big 49:23	brief 61:4,5
51:5,13,14	18:1,2,4,5,9,15	Avenue 1:12	bill 48:24 49:24	<b>briefing</b> 34:17
54:7 55:19	19:14,17,22	awaiting 12:22	DAM TO.27 TJ.27	60:15
	l	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

ases 6:24 48:9 49:17 ause 58:2 ertain 10:10 ertainly 28:17 28:19 CERTIFICA 62:4 ertification 32:9 34:22 35:9 41:7,8 ertified 27:12 27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	54:2,7 55:4 Chemicals 7:11 chose 14:11,12 circumstances 10:4 cite 7:10 claim 32:8 claimed 34:18 claims 37:7 Clarendon 6:23 clear 4:20 7:13 clearly 8:3 client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6 come 16:5 48:11	54:16 concerns 52:19 conclude 61:8 conclusion	43:15,17,20 45:6 46:6,15 46:16,18,19,21 47:17 51:6 52:2 53:14,15 53:24 54:4 55:8 56:20 57:7,21 58:4 62:9 correction 7:5 correctly 14:21 correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
ause 58:2 ertain 10:10 ertainly 28:17 28:19 CERTIFICA 62:4 ertification 32:9 34:22 35:9 41:7,8 ertified 27:12 27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	Chemicals 7:11 chose 14:11,12 circumstances 10:4 cite 7:10 claim 32:8 claimed 34:18 claims 37:7 Clarendon 6:23 clear 4:20 7:13 clearly 8:3 client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	concerns 52:19 conclude 61:8 conclusion	45:6 46:6,15 46:16,18,19,21 47:17 51:6 52:2 53:14,15 53:24 54:4 55:8 56:20 57:7,21 58:4 62:9 correction 7:5 correctly 14:21 correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
ertain 10:10 ertainly 28:17 28:19 CERTIFICA 62:4 ertification 32:9 34:22 35:9 41:7,8 ertified 27:12 27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	circumstances 10:4 cite 7:10 claim 32:8 claimed 34:18 claims 37:7 Clarendon 6:23 clear 4:20 7:13 clearly 8:3 client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	conclusion 49:11 50:10 52:24 concur 11:12 conduct 4:18 conducted 4:23 confer 48:6 59:2 confusion 17:16 17:24 Congratulatio 16:14,15 consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	47:17 51:6 52:2 53:14,15 53:24 54:4 55:8 56:20 57:7,21 58:4 62:9 correction 7:5 correctly 14:21 correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
ertainly 28:17 28:19 CERTIFICA 62:4 ertification 32:9 34:22 35:9 41:7,8 ertified 27:12 27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	10:4 cite 7:10 claim 32:8 claimed 34:18 claims 37:7 Clarendon 6:23 clear 4:20 7:13 clearly 8:3 client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	49:11 50:10 52:24 concur 11:12 conduct 4:18 conducted 4:23 confer 48:6 59:2 confusion 17:16 17:24 Congratulatio 16:14,15 consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	52:2 53:14,15 53:24 54:4 55:8 56:20 57:7,21 58:4 62:9 correction 7:5 correctly 14:21 correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
28:19 CERTIFICA 62:4 ertification 32:9 34:22 35:9 41:7,8 ertified 27:12 27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	cite 7:10 claim 32:8 claimed 34:18 claims 37:7 Clarendon 6:23 clear 4:20 7:13 clearly 8:3 client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	52:24 concur 11:12 conduct 4:18 conducted 4:23 confer 48:6 59:2 confusion 17:16 17:24 Congratulatio 16:14,15 consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	53:24 54:4 55:8 56:20 57:7,21 58:4 62:9 correction 7:5 correctly 14:21 correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
28:19 CERTIFICA 62:4 ertification 32:9 34:22 35:9 41:7,8 ertified 27:12 27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	claim 32:8 claimed 34:18 claims 37:7 Clarendon 6:23 clear 4:20 7:13 clearly 8:3 client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	concur 11:12 conduct 4:18 conducted 4:23 confer 48:6 59:2 confusion 17:16 17:24 Congratulatio 16:14,15 consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	55:8 56:20 57:7,21 58:4 62:9 correction 7:5 correctly 14:21 correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
62:4 ertification 32:9 34:22 35:9 41:7,8 ertified 27:12 27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	claimed 34:18 claims 37:7 Clarendon 6:23 clear 4:20 7:13 clearly 8:3 client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	conduct 4:18 conducted 4:23 confer 48:6 59:2 confusion 17:16 17:24 Congratulatio 16:14,15 consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	55:8 56:20 57:7,21 58:4 62:9 correction 7:5 correctly 14:21 correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
ertification 32:9 34:22 35:9 41:7,8 ertified 27:12 27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	claimed 34:18 claims 37:7 Clarendon 6:23 clear 4:20 7:13 clearly 8:3 client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	conduct 4:18 conducted 4:23 confer 48:6 59:2 confusion 17:16 17:24 Congratulatio 16:14,15 consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	57:7,21 58:4 62:9 correction 7:5 corrections 51:15 correctly 14:21 correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
32:9 34:22 35:9 41:7,8 ertified 27:12 27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	Clarendon 6:23 clear 4:20 7:13 clearly 8:3 client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	conducted 4:23 confer 48:6 59:2 confusion 17:16 17:24 Congratulatio 16:14,15 consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	62:9 correction 7:5 corrections 51:15 correctly 14:21 correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
35:9 41:7,8 ertified 27:12 27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	clear 4:20 7:13 clearly 8:3 client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	confer 48:6 59:2 confusion 17:16 17:24 Congratulatio 16:14,15 consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	corrections 51:15 correctly 14:21 correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
ertified 27:12 27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	clear 4:20 7:13 clearly 8:3 client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	confusion 17:16 17:24 Congratulatio 16:14,15 consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	corrections 51:15 correctly 14:21 correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
ertified 27:12 27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	clearly 8:3 client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	17:24 Congratulatio 16:14,15 consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	51:15 correctly 14:21 correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
27:13,23 35:6 40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	client 43:16 52:7 59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	16:14,15 consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
40:12,23 62:5 62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	59:2 Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	16:14,15 consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	correspondence 8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
62:17 ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	Clinton 7:14 clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	consider 6:20 consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	8:10,14,15 cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
ertify 62:7,11 Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	clock 48:5 closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	consideration 34:24 considering 7:8	cost 20:1 51:24 costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
Chairman 2:2 4:8 hange 7:4	closing 60:13,18 colleague 37:6	34:24 considering 7:8	costs 12:18 13:12 23:24
4:8 hange 7:4	colleague 37:6	considering 7:8	13:12 23:24
hange 7:4			
		consistent 7:7	24:14 38:14
/ 1 / 1 ALA 1			46:12 52:4,12
		· ·	52:15
	'		counsel 2:10,11
			15:6 16:17
^^			27:5 54:9 55:2
' ' '			counsel's 27:24
' '		_	33:23
	•		County 4:15
· /			
		<b>,</b>	7:14 62:2,6
		1	couple 5:14
,	,		course 9:13
	_		13:18 49:14,21
•	_		50:13
			court 16:19,24
· 1		**	30:3,7 42:7,12
,			covered 32:8
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_		CROSS 3:2
	-		CROSS-EXA
· ·	-	1	20:17 36:10
		i i	CSR 1:24
	•	1	CW3M 26:14
′ ′ ′ 1			40:7 42:24
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		l '	43:13 53:23
			54:3,7 55:5
	_		56:16
53:13,17,19,24	14:7 21:22	37:15 38:22	CW3M's 43:16
h h f	23:23 48:2 nanged 38:12 nanging 24:14 happel 3:4 16:6,7,10,12 16:16 17:1,1,4 20:19 29:18 31:4,6 37:8 38:6,17,24 40:4,10 55:13 55:23 56:8,12 56:23 58:1 hase 57:17,20 hatham 1:3 4:4,14 5:6 7:17 8:2,17 12:14 12:19 21:17,22 23:15 26:8 30:23 31:19 36:18 38:11,21 40:1,16 41:1 43:13,14,16,18 43:19,22 44:16 45:22 46:4 51:2 52:20 53:13,17,19,24	comment 19:21 28:7 60:23 61:1 16:6,7,10,12 16:16 17:1,1,4 20:19 29:18 31:4,6 37:8 38:6,17,24 40:4,10 55:13 55:23 56:8,12 56:23 58:1 hase 57:17,20 hatham 1:3 4:4,14 5:6 7:17 8:2,17 12:14 12:19 21:17,22 23:15 26:8 30:23 31:19 36:18 38:11,21 40:1,16 41:1 43:13,14,16,18 43:19,22 44:16 45:22 46:4 51:2 52:20  comment 19:21 28:7 60:23 61:1 common 49:15 commonly 43:21 communicatio 54:11,13,15 company 42:24 43:4,8 55:4 complains 52:20 complete 33:20 41:8 completely 13:13 44:11 Complex 34:7 compliance 21:9 Compound 17:19 34:7 comprehend 10:21 concern 23:9 28:18 38:1 concerning 14:6	nanged 38:12         comment 19:21         consultants 58:2           nanging 24:14         comment 19:21         consulted 48:16           happel 3:4         61:1         consulted 48:16           16:6,7,10,12         comment 28:11         contact 54:7,22           16:16 17:1,1,4         common 49:15         contains 6:13           20:19 29:18         commonly 43:21         contending           31:4,6 37:8         communicatio         54:11,13,15         contending           38:6,17,24         40:4,10 55:13         company 42:24         43:48,8 55:4         complains 52:20         continue 51:21           40:4,10 55:13         complains 52:20         complete 33:20         58:14         contracted           43:18         completely         13:13 44:11         contracted         43:13           44:8         complex 34:7         complex 34:7         complex 34:7           23:15 26:8         complex 34:7         complex 34:7         conversations           30:23 31:19         36:18 38:11,21         47:19 34:7         conversations           43:13,14,16,18         43:19,22 44:16         concern 23:9         22:24 48:8,22           43:19,22 44:16         concern 23:9         16:11 23:11,12         24:19,21,22           25:16 36

D 2:5 3:1 5:4 date 25:21 26:6 27:4 28:1 38:14 dated 7:18 25:17 33:11 44:7 62:15 day 55:19 day-to-day 57:9 57:9,10 58:8 days 7:24 16:10 deadline 4:15	deny 10:2,13 29:2 41:4 describe 33:14 describes 33:3 despite 27:7 determination 6:15,21 died 26:4 different 24:8 31:13 44:1 55:7,12 57:12 difficult 10:20	dollars 50:12 doubt 54:24 draft 32:12,13 32:20,21 33:1 33:7,15 34:5 drafted 32:13 33:7 drum 12:18 20:1 23:23 24:14 38:14 46:11 due 60:21 61:4,5	28:14 empty 33:19,20 ends 31:6 Engineer 30:21 engineers 54:21 entirely 57:12 entirety 40:16 entity 14:1 Environmental 1:6 5:8 20:22	31:16 exclude 15:19 excused 29:19 41:17 59:15 exhibit 3:18,18 45:14,20 57:14 58:6 59:21,22 60:2,9 EXHIBITS 3:16
D 2:5 3:1 5:4 date 25:21 26:6 27:4 28:1 38:14 dated 7:18 25:17 33:11 44:7 62:15 day 55:19 day-to-day 57:9 57:9,10 58:8 days 7:24 16:10 deadline 4:15	29:2 41:4 describe 33:14 describes 33:3 despite 27:7 determination 6:15,21 died 26:4 different 24:8 31:13 44:1 55:7,12 57:12 difficult 10:20	doubt 54:24 draft 32:12,13 32:20,21 33:1 33:7,15 34:5 drafted 32:13 33:7 drum 12:18 20:1 23:23 24:14 38:14 46:11	empty 33:19,20 ends 31:6 Engineer 30:21 engineers 54:21 entirely 57:12 entirety 40:16 entity 14:1 Environmental	exclude 15:19 excused 29:19 41:17 59:15 exhibit 3:18,18 45:14,20 57:14 58:6 59:21,22 60:2,9
date 25:21 26:6 27:4 28:1 38:14 dated 7:18 25:17 33:11 44:7 62:15 day 55:19 day-to-day 57:9 57:9,10 58:8 days 7:24 16:10 deadline 4:15	describe 33:14 describes 33:3 despite 27:7 determination 6:15,21 died 26:4 different 24:8 31:13 44:1 55:7,12 57:12 difficult 10:20	draft 32:12,13 32:20,21 33:1 33:7,15 34:5 drafted 32:13 33:7 drum 12:18 20:1 23:23 24:14 38:14 46:11	ends 31:6 Engineer 30:21 engineers 54:21 entirely 57:12 entirety 40:16 entity 14:1 Environmental	excused 29:19 41:17 59:15 exhibit 3:18,18 45:14,20 57:14 58:6 59:21,22 60:2,9
27:4 28:1 38:14 dated 7:18 25:17 33:11 44:7 62:15 day 55:19 day-to-day 57:9 57:9,10 58:8 days 7:24 16:10 deadline 4:15	describes 33:3 despite 27:7 determination 6:15,21 died 26:4 different 24:8 31:13 44:1 55:7,12 57:12 difficult 10:20	32:20,21 33:1 33:7,15 34:5 <b>drafted</b> 32:13 33:7 <b>drum</b> 12:18 20:1 23:23 24:14 38:14 46:11	Engineer 30:21 engineers 54:21 entirely 57:12 entirety 40:16 entity 14:1 Environmental	41:17 59:15 <b>exhibit</b> 3:18,18 45:14,20 57:14 58:6 59:21,22 60:2,9
38:14 dated 7:18 25:17 33:11 44:7 62:15 day 55:19 day-to-day 57:9 57:9,10 58:8 days 7:24 16:10 deadline 4:15	despite 27:7 determination 6:15,21 died 26:4 different 24:8 31:13 44:1 55:7,12 57:12 difficult 10:20	33:7,15 34:5 drafted 32:13 33:7 drum 12:18 20:1 23:23 24:14 38:14 46:11	engineers 54:21 entirely 57:12 entirety 40:16 entity 14:1 Environmental	exhibit 3:18,18 45:14,20 57:14 58:6 59:21,22 60:2,9
dated 7:18 25:17 33:11 44:7 62:15 day 55:19 day-to-day 57:9 57:9,10 58:8 days 7:24 16:10 deadline 4:15	determination 6:15,21 died 26:4 different 24:8 31:13 44:1 55:7,12 57:12 difficult 10:20	drafted 32:13 33:7 drum 12:18 20:1 23:23 24:14 38:14 46:11	entirely 57:12 entirety 40:16 entity 14:1 Environmental	45:14,20 57:14 58:6 59:21,22 60:2,9
33:11 44:7 62:15 day 55:19 day-to-day 57:9 57:9,10 58:8 days 7:24 16:10 deadline 4:15	6:15,21 died 26:4 different 24:8 31:13 44:1 55:7,12 57:12 difficult 10:20	33:7 <b>drum</b> 12:18 20:1 23:23 24:14 38:14 46:11	entirety 40:16 entity 14:1 Environmental	58:6 59:21,22 60:2,9
62:15 day 55:19 day-to-day 57:9 57:9,10 58:8 days 7:24 16:10 deadline 4:15	different 24:8 31:13 44:1 55:7,12 57:12 difficult 10:20	23:23 24:14 38:14 46:11	entity 14:1 Environmental	60:2,9
day 55:19 day-to-day 57:9 57:9,10 58:8 days 7:24 16:10 deadline 4:15	different 24:8 31:13 44:1 55:7,12 57:12 difficult 10:20	23:23 24:14 38:14 46:11	Environmental	
day-to-day 57:9 57:9,10 58:8 days 7:24 16:10 deadline 4:15	31:13 44:1 55:7,12 57:12 difficult 10:20	38:14 46:11		
57:9,10 58:8 days 7:24 16:10 deadline 4:15	difficult 10:20	due 60:21 61:4,5		exist 6:12 41:4
days 7:24 16:10 deadline 4:15	difficult 10:20		30:20 43:1	existed 6:17
deadline 4:15		duly 1:13 17:6	57:17,20	exists 15:7
deadine 4.15	DIRECT 3:2	30:13 42:19	EPA 23:14	expediency
60:23	17:9 30:16	duplicates 31:11	30:19 32:12	20:14
deal 56:17 57:9	42:22	duties 21:5	36:13 40:24	expenses 49:18
Deanna 2:2 4:8	direction 38:16		53:11 54:15	49:20
DCanna 2.2 T.0	directions 37:11	<b>E</b>	55:24 57:14	explains 10:8
Detember 12.5	37:17	E 3:1	EPA's 24:5	extend 7:13
22:18 23:10 38:2 40:19	directly 46:13	e-mail 17:12	28:14 46:14	extension 5:17
30.2 .0.1	discuss 5:12,21	19:13,16,22	Eric 3:6 17:12	9:7,8
decide 51.10	17:13	22:22 23:1	19:17 21:13	extensions 14:7
decided 14:17	discussing 56:3	31:10,10,13	22:19 23:13	CALCINSTONS 14.7
developed	discussion 22:19	32:1 34:4,5,9	24:17 26:11,17	F
0.11,177.5	22:22 29:8	57:22	29:23 30:4,11	facility 4:13
9:10,12,14	discussions 56:9	e-mails 18:7,13	54:22	fact 9:1 12:12
10.22,23,23,21	disposal 12:18	23:7,9,19	Eric's 18:9	13:20 14:5
11.17 12.5,10	23:24 24:14	24:18 32:2,5	19:19	27:20 32:7
12:19 14:16,22	38:14 46:11	33:18,18 34:11	error 12:5 13:2	fall 10:12
19:24 20:1	<b>Division</b> 2:11	37:23 56:2,7	20:9	familiar 21:17
24.5 51.10	document 5:23	E-r-i-c 30:4	essentially 40:20	25:23 55:13
34.20 30.10	24:12 25:10	earlier 52:12	Estate 7:16	far 60:2
44:11,15 45:21	27:6,7 28:21	East 1:12 2:11	everybody 49:11	fashion 11:4
46:5,13 47:21	32:11,17,22	effectiveness	52:3	February 6:10
47:24 49:19	36:22,24 37:3	53:1	evidence 6:20	7:5 9:15 11:20
51:4,7,13	39:16 44:7,8	effectuate 12:10	12:6 13:1	12:4,7,16 20:4
52:16 55:1,6	44:24 46:9,12	14:19 51:1	59:22	20:6 24:23
<b>decisions</b> 6:23	documentary	effectuating	exact 26:6 48:18	25:14 36:24
7.12 13.17,20	34:19	9:14	exactly 58:19	37:17 38:13
14:9 43:19	documentation	effort 28:4	exactly 38.19	39:7,19 40:15
<b>accp</b> 50.5	30:24	either 33:19	17:9 27:1	40:20 46:17
delivered 27:9	documents 8:10	employed 20:19	30:16 42:22	52:19 53:10
21.11	10:5 34:23	20:21 36:12	58:14	54:6,16 55:6
delivery 28:1	35:10 55:5	employee 43:8	examined 17:7	55:24 56:4
denial 21:8	doing 55:20	employees 21:12	30:14 42:20	fees 13:12 15:6,8
delifed 5.2)	59:20	21:14 23:8	example 7:1	51:24
15:23	JJ.20		campic /,1	

	1			
Fifth 2:6	forward 14:23	Goldman 17:12	29:1,5,10,14	23:10
file 5:16 7:19,24	found 7:18	good 4:2 42:15	29:17,20,24	implicated 27:5
11:15 14:7,12	35:21	42:16 52:3	30:6 32:19,23	important 28:2
28:15 32:1	<b>Friday</b> 27:15	Goodiel 57:18	33:24 36:5,8	importantly
47:21 49:3	front 10:5,6 33:2	Goodiel's 57:22	41:12,16,18,22	13:4
50:3	<b>fully</b> 12:13,15	governing 8:8	42:2,6,11 44:2	impression
filed 7:23 8:4,19	24:4 38:20	<b>Grand</b> 1:12 2:11	44:5,13 45:4,7	18:14,18 19:4
8:24 13:5,7	46:4 51:3,24	granted 5:16	45:12,16,24	19:6
14:10,11 27:6	<b>further</b> 7:2 9:6	20:15 36:8	53:4 57:5	inappropriate
28:3,19 44:24	20:11 26:19,22	green 27:21	58:17,23 59:3	8:2
48:5 49:9	29:11,15 36:3	28:16	59:7,11,13,16	include 6:14 8:9
50:21 61:1	41:11,14 58:21	grind 15:5 26:8	59:19 60:4,8	21:5 23:23
files 27:21	59:9 61:7	26:14 40:1,7	60:12,16,20	incur 49:17
filing 48:7,15,17	62:11	56:12,15	heels 54:24	index 32:11 33:2
48:23 50:23		grounds 54:8	held 1:10 6:20	33:3,14
final 4:17 6:21	G	group 21:7	10:6,24 61:12	indicate 35:10
7:3 10:22 20:4	G-o-o-d-i-e-l	guess 18:9 49:4	help 45:15	indication 32:6
47:24 51:7,13	57:19	guys 48:12	hey 48:11	information
52:16	<b>Gary</b> 16:6	52:12 55:21	Hills 6:23	6:14,17 10:7,8
financially	general 21:19		honestly 49:2	34:12,19
62:13	26:1	<u>H</u>	Honor 15:18	Ingersoll 2:5 3:4
<b>find</b> 10:20 34:4	generate 15:8	hand 60:3	hour 1:11	3:6 5:4,4,22
firm 43:2	generated 15:7	handing 44:7	huge 49:18	6:5,8 8:3 10:19
first 5:13 6:9 8:1	24:17	57:13	human 14:1	15:16 16:2,6,9
15:17 16:3	Gerald 2:2 4:8	happen 45:7		17:10,23 18:20
17:6 21:24	getting 34:1	50:5	<u> </u>	19:9,12 20:10
28:22 30:13	48:4	happy 13:22	identified 3:17	22:10 26:21,23
42:19 47:11	give 9:2 37:16	Harry 3:4 17:1,4	45:22	27:2,24 28:7
fix 10:22 11:13	44:2	37:8 38:6,24	identify 32:11	28:13 29:10,12
11:15 13:21	Given 27:24	40:4,10 55:13	IEPA 2:10 4:4	29:20,22 30:17
14:15 15:12	34:3	55:18 56:8,12	II 4:12 48:10,21	32:15,18,21
25:3 28:4	gives 9:8 37:10	56:23	52:6	33:1,6 34:1,2
39:10,12 50:7	giving 19:5	Hay 2:5 5:5	III 30:21 48:22	34:10,16 35:2
<b>fixed</b> 8:19 9:16	Glosser 2:2 4:8	he'll 13:13	<b>III 7</b> :11	36:3 41:13,15
9:19 13:3,3,4	go 13:21 14:23	hear 18:23	Illinois 1:2,6,12	41:18,20 42:1
15:13 25:5,14	20:13 28:14	hearing 1:10,13	2:7,12 5:8	44:9 48:3
floor 28:15	29:3 36:6	4:2,3,19,21	20:22 21:10	52:23 57:1
folks 51:10,11	44:18 59:4,8	5:10 6:3,6 8:6	23:14 24:4	58:13 59:11,12
follow 37:14	going 10:1,13	8:22 9:13,20	30:19 32:12	60:1,12,14
following 7:12	14:3 15:24	10:1 11:23	36:13 40:24	initially 46:23
follows 17:7	22:6 29:1 46:7	15:15,20,23	46:14 53:11	47:1,6
30:14 42:20	48:12,20,24	16:7,13,17,23	54:15 57:14	inquiries 31:22
foregoing 62:7,8	49:1 57:4	17:21 18:22	62:1	instance 17:5
forth 23:19	58:13,18 60:5	19:7 20:12,15	impeach 57:4	30:12 42:18
24:18	60:13,15	26:21 28:10,22	implementation	instanter 5:16
		•		

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	rage o/
instructed 23:13	June 60:21,24	leaking 21:3	line 47:11 58:15	manner 4:19
instruction	61:5,6 62:15	26:3	58:22	11:13 56:18
23:18 24:17		learn 9:13 39:3	lines 17:16	<b>March</b> 6:3 7:4
instructions	<u>K</u>	39:6	litigated 44:11	7:18 9:16
24:8 37:10,16	K-u-h-l-m-a-n	leave 5:15 48:4	litigation 12:14	25:17 27:4,15
38:6	30:5	legal 2:11 49:18	13:12 14:3	41:1 52:1,22
intend 35:9	Kathe's 6:24	49:20,24 51:7	15:7 21:21,24	mark 45:14
<b>intent</b> 19:13	Keenan 2:2 4:9	52:4,17,24	22:3 23:15	<b>marked</b> 45:19
interested 62:14	keep 9:21 48:11	54:9 55:2	38:12,21 40:17	57:14
interesting 8:21	58:19	legislature 11:9	44:17 46:3	<b>Marvin</b> 57:16
introduce 45:15	<b>kind</b> 47:16 49:9	lengthy 7:7	51:2 53:24	materials 8:11
investigation	49:11,11 54:24	let's 28:11 48:12	little 34:1 44:1	matter 11:10
4:13 48:10	knee 50:9	58:19	<b>LLC</b> 1:3 4:4 5:6	12:12 14:5
involve 18:4	knew 46:22 48:9	letter 6:4,9,18	lobbying 56:21	44:10,12 60:3
involved 21:8	48:13,19	7:4,5,18 9:4,15	57:23	matters 5:12,21
22:3 56:9	know 8:24 9:6	9:17 11:4,5,20	locate 28:16	10:16 12:14
62:13	9:19 13:23	12:4,7,9,15,23	located 4:13	55:7
issue 4:11 7:9	14:6 15:11,24	14:19,21 17:14	5:23	mean 15:24
18:4,14,18	27:8,19,22	17:18 18:1,2,9	long 8:5 52:15	34:13,22 49:2
19:14,17,22,23	32:4,5 33:10	18:15 19:5,14	55:21	49:6,13,16
34:18 51:24	33:16 34:16	19:18,23,23	longer 10:11	50:6 52:4
55:12	45:8,9 46:23	20:5,7 23:14	Longstanding	53:24 58:8
<b>issued</b> 14:18	47:3 49:17	24:5,13,16,20	6:19	means 34:14
18:12 38:20	50:4 52:11	24:24 25:11,13	look 10:11 20:6	mechanism
53:10	54:23 55:17	25:15,20 27:3	22:11 31:9,16	14:23
issues 53:7,7	56:17 58:18	27:8 31:16,17	33:17 44:1,18	Member 4:8
issuing 10:23	knowing 27:18	31:18,22 32:12	looked 18:7	members 2:1 4:9
18:8 19:5	knowledge 9:22	33:7,8,10,12	19:15,16 27:22	4:17
38:13	35:12 41:9	33:13,15 34:5	looking 47:12	mentioned
items 10:4 35:6	54:18	34:24 37:1,18	53:8	35:21
	known 25:23	38:2,11,13,20	looks 23:7	mind 8:2 17:24
J	Kuhlman 3:6	39:7,18,20,22	lost 34:1	minute 48:24
Jackie 26:2	21:13 22:20	40:15,21 41:2	lot 11:18 13:24	59:5
January 24:3	23:13 24:18	44:10,11,15,15	49:8,17 50:12	mischaracteri
31:14 32:12	26:11,17 29:23	45:22 46:5,14	LUST 36:15	19:1
33:11,14,19	30:1,4,4,11,18	46:18 50:8,17	37:7 57:23	Mischaracteri
34:4,8,11	34:3,21 35:3	50:19 51:1,4		18:17
35:20,23 38:5	36:12	51:13,16 52:1	M	missed 6:1
57:22		52:5,20,21,22	mail 27:12,13,23	mistake 10:22
job 19:19,20	L	53:10 54:6,8	40:23	11:5,15,21
Johnson 57:16	L.A 1:22	54:17 55:6,12	<b>Main</b> 4:14	12:17,23 13:15
judgment 50:1	label 45:14	55:24 56:5	making 6:15	13:16,21 15:12
judicial 44:24	Land 21:4	letters 31:7	manage 21:7	15:10,21 15:12
45:21 59:23	Laurel 1:24 62:5	likes 56:16	manager 21:1	24:23 25:3,6
July 4:15 45:1	law 11:2 14:6	limited 10:3	36:17	25:14 39:7,10
		,	1	

			····	rage of
39:12,19 46:22	nine 21:7	32:19,23 33:24	outside 10:24	19:5 21:7
47:8 51:6	Nineteen 7:23	36:5,8 41:12	outstanding	period 14:8
mistaken 11:16	North 4:14	41:16,18,22	5:14	Permission
24:20	note 16:9	42:2,6,11	Overruled 44:13	20:13 36:6
mistakes 11:13	notes 62:9	43:11 44:2,5	owner 58:2	44:4
14:1,15 50:4	notice 1:10 5:19	44:13 45:4,7	owner-operator	personal 26:7,13
mix-up 47:4	35:22 44:24	45:12,16,24	26:3	39:24 40:6
modifications	45:21 46:1	53:4 57:5	20.5	50:5 56:11,14
51:15	59:24	58:17,23 59:3	P	personally 58:3
moment 29:4	noticed 4:21	59:7,11,13,16	p.m 31:15,15	personnel 15:5
53:12 57:15	number 45:8,10	59:19 60:4,8	packet 22:7	persons' 31:13
59:12 57:13	nutshell 21:6	60:12,16,20	page 17:11 22:7	petition 8:20,23
Monday 27:17	nutshen 21.0	oh 45:12 49:8	23:19 24:10	11:15 13:4,6
moot 5:17,18	0	53:11	25:8,9 27:3	14:8,13 27:6
9:20 13:7	o'clock 4:5	Oil 7:14	31:11 32:17	28:3 45:2,11
morning 4:2	oath 17:7 30:14	okay 10:15,17	33:2,13 35:24	47:21 48:5,7
42:15,16	42:20	10:22 12:11	36:20 37:20	48:15,17 50:21
motion 5:15,16	object 28:8,17	15:20 16:5	39:14 45:8,9	51:23 52:19
5:18 8:4 9:21	44:9 58:14	18:13 19:7,12	46:8,8,12 58:6	petitioned 13:24
9:23 10:2,13	60:1	20:3,10 31:2,9	pages 5:24 7:18	petitioner 1:4
motions 5:14	objection 17:19	32:23 34:2	22:11,13 23:2	2:8 5:5 8:10,11
28:23	18:16,23 19:1	35:14 36:3	24:11 32:10	8:15,16 10:17
move 5:22 15:19	32:14 34:6	41:12 45:12,24	35:19 36:1	15:4 17:6 27:6
28:8 33:22	35:1 52:23	47:8 49:4	37:22 50:15	28:3 30:13
59:23	57:1	50:24 51:20	part 12:5 45:4	
moved 28:20	obviously 6:10	52:4 54:3 57:5	particular 13:14	petitioner's 4:12 5:18 61:4
moved 28.20 moves 44:23	8:5		parties 5:2 11:3	
moving 48:11	occur 22:22	58:23 59:7,13 old 44:19	53:23 62:12	place 60:3
mutual 49:11	off-the-record		party 54:1,2,3	plan 4:13 8:12
mutuai 49.11	29:7	opening 10:18	Patkes 1:24 62:5	14:24 47:3,4,5
N	offer 60:6	12:1	Pause 58:7	54:19
N 1:11 2:11 3:1	offered 60:9	operators 58:3	payment 17:14	Plaza 25:24
name 4:3 16:24	offhand 32:4	opportunity 9:9	17:17,17 18:1	please 5:2 16:5,6
30:2 42:7	33:16	order 14:20	18:5,6,8,15	16:8,19,23
43:24	office 22:24	18:12 22:16,18	19:5,14,17	26:23 28:10,12
necessarily	officer 1:13 4:2	23:10 24:1,15	PCB 1:4 4:4	30:1,2,7 35:18
10:12	5:10 6:3,6 10:1	35:18,20,21	6:23,24 7:14	42:7,12 59:8
need 51:14	11:23 15:15,20	38:2 40:19	7:15,16 23:10	plow 11:21
needed 16:18	15:23 16:7,13	47:12 48:2	35:15 44:12	point 11:6,11
	·	51:2,16,18		39:6,9 47:20
needing 52:7 needs 11:8	16:17,23 17:21 18:22 19:7	52:14	45:1,5	57:4 58:18
		orderly 4:19	PDF 32:1	pointed 11:7
neutral 4:19	20:12,15 26:21	orders 14:18	pending 55:10	Pollution 1:2
new 10:23	28:10 29:1,5	35:15 58:16	55:12	4:16 22:16
newer 11:4	29:10,14,17,20	original 19:24	people 12:21	46:4 51:1
nice 11:3,13	29:24 30:6	Outlook 32:6	13:21 18:14	portion 19:11

position 30:18	43:1	reach 49:9,10	34:8 35:3,16	rephrase 35:2
possession 40:24	production	read 17:15	35:19 36:21	replacement
post 54:20	28:21	18:20 19:8,10	37:21,23 39:15	11:5
post-hearing	professional	46:20	40:12,16 45:5	reported 62:7
28:11	43:3 56:17,18	reading 8:3 58:5	45:8,10 46:8	reporter 1:24
posted 60:21	program's 57:11	ready 48:4	46:13 50:16	16:19,22,24
postmark 27:11	programs 56:23	reality 14:16	56:3 59:4,6,8	19:10:30:3,7,9
precedent 6:19	58:1	realize 35:14	RECROSS 3:2	42:8,12,14
7:8,13 11:10	project 31:2	really 8:21 9:12	redacted 34:15	62:6,17
preliminary	36:17	47:1 49:3	redaction 33:20	REPORTING
5:11,20 10:16	prompted 40:20	51:20 55:3	REDIRECT 3:2	1:22
28:23	proof 60:6	Realty 7:15	27:1	represent 53:13
prepared 33:8	proposition 7:7	reason 26:10,16	refer 6:22 17:11	representative
35:3 40:14	Protection 1:6	40:3,9 44:20	35:18	53:20
41:6	5:8 20:22	recall 19:15	references 35:23	request 8:13
present 4:10	30:20	22:23	referring 22:8	28:14 29:2
41:23	provide 31:3	receipt 27:13	32:16 33:12	requested 15:2
President 43:7	34:4	40:23	reflected 14:22	19:11 28:20
pretty 49:1	provided 13:9	received 41:1	regulations 9:4	53:22
previous 12:13	15:3,11 22:9	46:17 50:20,22	9:18 21:10	requests 7:17
12:14 21:21	provisions 9:4	52:1	Reichhold 7:10	requirement
23:15 38:11,21	<b>public</b> 4:9 60:23	recognize 12:16	11:1	6:13
40:16 44:16	61:1	22:13,15 24:12	reimbursement	reserve 28:10
46:3 51:2,3	pull 14:12	25:10 36:22	18:5 19:22	resolved 8:23
52:5	purpose 4:18	39:16 44:8,15	52:11	13:10
previously 57:14	pursuant 1:10	46:9 50:17	rejection 4:12	respondent 1:7
printed 31:12	4:22,23	recommendati	related 8:12	2:13 5:8,18
prior 6:17,21	put 35:19	31:3	47:13 55:7	42:19 44:23
10:24 48:15		reconsider 7:3	62:12	respondent's
priorities 57:23	Q	9:10 13:19,20	relationship	5:15 61:5
priority 56:21	quash 5:18	reconsideration	43:4,6	response 31:18
privilege 33:21	question 12:4	10:23 14:9,15	relative 30:23	31:21 57:21
34:12	15:1,9 28:5	reconsidering	relevance 44:10	responsibilities
Probably 44:18	33:5 51:7,19	9:12	60:2	18:3
<b>problem</b> 8:19,22	52:18 58:10	record 4:20 5:3	relied 6:10,15	responsibility
8:23 13:3,3	questions 28:12	5:16,21,24	relief 13:8 15:2	30:22
28:4	29:11 36:4	6:14 7:19,20	51:22 52:1,14	restate 17:22
procedural 4:24	quick 33:17	7:22,24 8:3,8,9	53:3	resubmit 51:15
61:3	quite 14:3 49:3,7	9:5,18 10:9	remedied 13:15	result 24:17
proceeded 52:7		19:11 22:8,9	52:21	resulted 37:17
proceedings 4:1		23:3 24:11	remedy 13:22	results 56:4
4:20 61:8,12	R-o-w-e 42:10	25:9 27:4	15:10 39:19	retiring 16:10
62:8	raise 34:17	28:19,19 29:4	removal 56:22	reversal 12:18
process 51:21	raised 28:24	29:6 31:9	57:24	47:5 50:8
processing/co	Rauner 56:21	32:10 33:13,18	repeat 33:5	reverse 11:16
	i e		4	i

<u> </u>				- rage ro
51:3,16 52:16	44:3	setting 51:23	36:18 43:14,19	strings 31:10
reversed 12:13	S-h-a-m-s-i-r	settle 49:3,6,20	56:18	subject 6:11
12:15 24:4	43:23	50:12	situation 13:10	22:19 23:15
38:20 46:5	sake 20:14	settled 48:21	Slightom 7:16	24:1 37:1
47:24 48:9	samples 7:13	settlement 49:10	small 49:23	38:11 44:16
51:8	Sangamon 4:14	Shamsir 43:23	smart 12:21	45:22 54:16
reversing 46:14	62:2	shorthand 62:5	somewhat 28:2	submit 9:3
52:14	saw 24:2	62:7,9,17	sorry 18:24 33:4	submittal 8:12
review 8:20 13:5	saying 14:10	show 12:6 13:1	speak 53:16	48:1 55:9
13:7,24 14:8	says 8:8 33:1	<b>showed</b> 19:13	speaking 52:13	submitted 8:11
14:13 21:8	Scenic 25:24	shows 8:18 34:8	specifically 10:4	31:1 47:2 55:5
23:4 30:24	schedule 60:15	41:1	spell 16:24 30:2	Subpart 8:8
40:15 45:2,11	scope 10:13	Sievers 2:9 3:5,7	42:7	subsequent
47:4,10,13,21	20:14 36:7	3:8 5:7,7 7:21	spite 28:3	22:19 25:11
48:7,16,17	Scott 2:9 5:7	11:24 12:2	spoke 52:12	subsequently
50:21 51:23	screw 12:3,8	15:18,22 16:15	Springfield 1:12	24:24 47:18
52:19 57:15	screw-up 12:5	17:19 18:16,24	2:7,12	substantive
reviewed 35:11	screwed 9:15,16	20:8,12,13,18	SS 62:1	12:19
reviewer 31:3	12:7 14:20	26:19 28:5,8	staff 56:3 57:24	sudden 49:1,23
revise 23:16	47:16	28:17 29:3,15	staffing 57:23	sue 13:22
revising 19:23	seat 16:8 30:1	29:16 32:14,16	Stage 4:12 48:10	suggested 23:22
23:22	second 54:19	33:22 34:6,13	48:21,22 52:4	Suite 2:6
<b>Ridge</b> 25:24	secretary 5:24	35:1 36:5,6,11	52:6	Sullivan 26:2
right 10:10	section 6:13 8:7	41:11 42:2,4	<b>stand</b> 61:9	supervise 21:15
15:15 23:16,20	14:6 21:4	42:15,23 44:4	standpoint	supervisor 37:8
24:1 25:18	36:15 57:23	44:6,14,23	18:10	38:5
28:15 36:18	61:2	45:6,9,13,18	stands 52:15	support 34:19
37:23 38:3,7	Sections 4:23	46:2 53:2,9	starting 31:11	supposed 19:4
54:12 55:7,10	see 8:18 9:17	57:2,7 58:21	starts 27:3	37:13 56:19
58:24 60:8	11:21 27:14	59:1,9,18,21	state 16:23 30:2	sure 26:5 37:19
rolling 48:14	seek 9:7 13:11	60:7,11,17,19	42:7 62:1,6	41:5 45:18
room 28:15	15:6	signatory 34:21	statement 10:18	47:6 48:18
Rowe 3:8 42:5,9	seeking 51:22	signed 24:14	12:1 19:8	54:20 58:19
42:15,16,17	seen 19:13 56:2	25:12 32:10	60:13,18	sustain 58:18
44:6,14 46:2	send 31:22	55:24	status 54:14	swear 16:20
ruining 58:2	sending 14:18	signing 31:7	stay 56:17	30:7 42:12
rule 5:13	17:13 18:1	simply 9:14	<b>Stephens</b> 2:5 5:5	sworn 16:21
rules 4:22,24	sent 12:6,15	14:18	stick 28:11	17:6 30:8,13
6:12 8:7 61:3	14:21 25:21	sir 16:12 25:19	storage 21:3,9	42:13,19
running 48:5	27:12 53:10	43:10,12 55:14	Street 4:14	
Rus 57:18	54:7	55:16	stricken 7:20	T
	Service 1:22	sit 21:20	strike 5:23	table 50:13
<u>S</u>	6:24	site 4:12 21:18	10:14 28:9	take 23:13 34:17
S 2:6	set 23:18 24:18	21:22 25:23	33:22 47:19	45:21 46:1
S-h-a-m-s-h-e-r	60:15	30:23 31:1	53:11	49:19 57:14
Section for the control of the contr				

	···			
58:2 60:5	54:21 55:22	11:18	29:10,14,17,20	working 54:19
taken 10:11 11:9	56:18 61:8,12	unit 18:3 21:1,2	29:24 30:6	works 37:6
62:9	timeframe 48:19	unnecessary	32:19,23 33:24	48:14
takes 53:6	times 37:11	13:13	36:5,8 41:12	world 51:9
talking 18:8	47:23 49:8	utterly 13:13	41:16,18,22	wouldn't 10:12
48:19	50:11		42:2,6,11 44:2	write 58:11
tank 21:3,9 26:3	title 20:24	V	44:5,13 45:4,7	writing 31:18
tank 21.5,5 20.5	today 4:7 5:21	vague 17:20	45:12,16,24	written 51:14
technical 30:24	12:6 15:2,10	32:14 35:1	53:4 57:5	56:21
terms 52:13	21:20 53:16,20	various 23:8	58:17,23 59:3	wrong 46:24
testified 17:7	53:21 60:13,18	version 6:2 20:4	59:7,11,13,16	wrote 37:3
30:14 42:20	told 47:23	versus 4:4 17:18		
57:2		vouchers 18:5	59:19 60:4,8	39:22 57:22
	Tolles 7:14	vs-1:5	60:12,16,20	X
testify 19:3 22:1	town 48:4,24	10-1.5	website 60:22	$\frac{\mathbf{X}}{\mathbf{X}3:1}$
testimony 18:17	transcript 60:20	W	Wednesday 1:10	A 3.1
19:2 33:23	62:9	walk 49:10	weight 9:3	<u> </u>
Thank 5:10 7:21	transpired 29:8	walks 49:12	welcome 4:7	yeah 14:23
11:23 15:14	trigger 14:12	want 8:17,24	Weller 58:1	33:24 45:16
16:16 20:10	true 62:8	9:17 22:11	went 52:6	50:22 51:11
29:13,17 41:16	try 11:21 13:14	31:18 54:10	weren't 47:6	55:11 58:12
42:1 59:13	14:15 35:2		whatsoever	
60:7,19 61:10	48:14	wanted 18:14,18	13:19	years 55:21
thing 13:10	trying 9:21	wanting 53:3	<b>William</b> 2:5 5:4	Z
15:11 38:12	11:10 12:10	wasn't 14:16	wipe 49:20	
50:9 57:3	14:19 15:12	28:24 47:8	wishes 9:3	0
things 8:9 48:11	17:15 48:3,21	way 11:14 12:8	withdrawn 5:19	<b>084-001340</b> 1:24
48:14	51:6	22:4 23:23	witness 3:2	
think 11:7 16:10	two 16:10	27:18 33:20	15:17 16:3,20	11
17:13 20:3		55:19 62:11	16:21 17:5	1 45:1 62:15
22:24 27:5,16	U	we'll 11:21 59:4	19:3 26:20,22	10 4:5
43:24 47:9	ultimate 35:21	59:7	28:6,12 29:11	<b>10:00</b> 1:11
50:7 53:6 55:1	underground	we're 9:11 15:24	29:19,21 30:7	101.600 4:23
55:11,18 56:16	21:3,9	28:22 29:6	30:8,12 33:4	<b>101.628</b> 61:2
57:11	underlying	47:23 50:9	41:14,17,19	101.632 4:24
thought 39:2	12:19 45:3	56:19 60:14	42:9,12,13,18	<b>101.032</b> 4.24 <b>1021</b> 1:11 2:11
41:7	understand	we've 13:9 14:24	53:6 57:8	<b>1021</b> 1.11 2.11 <b>105.406</b> 14:6
ties 58:19	11:22 25:5	48:8 49:4	59:10,15,17	105.410 14:6 105.410 8:7
time 5:1,17 6:12	47:1 50:4,24	Webb 1:13 4:2,3	witness's 19:8	
8:1 9:7,8 11:7	55:23	5:10 6:3,6 10:1	witness s 19.8 witnesses 15:19	105.410(b)(4)
13:6,20 14:7	understanding	11:23 15:15,20	16:4 41:21	6:13
15:19 24:3	25:20	15:23 16:7,13	42:3	10th 60:24
29:9 38:19	understood	16:17,23 17:21	work 11:19 25:3	<b>11-25</b> 7:16
	25:14 39:18	18:22 19:7		<b>112</b> 22:7,11
39:2 41:6,24 47:3 50:20	46:3 51:5	20:12,15 26:21	39:9 43:14	35:19 36:1
	unfortunate	28:10 29:1,5	48:3 52:6,8	113 22:12 35:19
51:12 54:15,20	wiivi tullate		worked 55:18	36:1
	<u>[</u>			

Page	72

		<del></del>		Page /
114 22:12	2014 7:13,16	<b>29</b> 24:3	'	
<b>115</b> 22:12 35:20	12:9 22:19	29th 38:5		
36:1	23:10 35:20,23			
<b>116</b> 23:2,5,19	38:2 40:19	3		
31:11 37:20,22	<b>2014-001</b> 45:1	3 58:6		
<b>120</b> 17:11	45:23	<b>30</b> 3:6		
<b>126</b> 23:3,6 37:22	<b>2015</b> 1:11 4:5	300 4:14		
<b>127</b> 32:10,17	7:18 9:15 12:4	312)419-9292		
33:13	12:7 24:3,24	1:23		
<b>129</b> 32:10	25:15,17 27:4	<b>36</b> 3:7		
<b>13</b> 57:22	31:14 32:12	<b>3d</b> 7:11		
<b>130</b> 20:8 24:10	33:14 37:1,17			
24:11 36:20,21	38:13 39:7,19	4		
		<b>4:28</b> 31:15		
46:8,12 <b>135</b> :24:11 36:21	40:15,20 41:1 46:18 52:1,19	<b>42</b> 3:8		
46:8	·	<b>45</b> 3:18		•
	52:22 53:10			
<b>136</b> 5:24 7:19	54:6,16 55:6	5		
25:8,9 27:3	55:24 56:4	<b>57</b> 3:18		
39:14,15 50:16	57:22 62:15			
<b>138</b> 5:24 7:19	<b>204</b> 7:11	6		
25:9 39:15	<b>205</b> 2:6	<b>62701</b> 2:7		
50:16	<b>20th</b> 33:11,19	<b>62794</b> 2:12		
<b>14-1</b> 35:15 44:12	34:4	<b>674</b> 7:11		
45:5	23rd 4:15 61:6			
<b>15</b> 35:24 37:17	<b>25</b> 6:10 9:15	7		
<b>15-173</b> 1:4 4:4	11:20 12:4,7	<b>700</b> 2:6		
<b>16th</b> 61:5	20:4,6 24:23	8		
<b>17</b> 3:4	25:14 36:24			
<b>18</b> 12:9 22:18	38:13 39:7,19	8th 7:22,23		
23:10 38:2	40:15,20 46:17	28:19 60:21		
40:19	52:19 53:10	9		
<b>1990</b> 7:11 11:1	54:6,16 55:6	9 35:20,23		
<b>1992</b> 7:14	55:20,24 56:4	90-day 9:6,7		
<b>1997</b> 7:15	<b>25th</b> 7:5 12:16	91-163 7:14		
2	<b>27</b> 1:11 3:4 4:5	<b>93-124</b> 7:15	· [	
28:8	7:18 25:17	93-124 7.13 93-55 6:23		
2:49 31:15	27:4 41:1 52:1	<b>95-43</b> 6:24		
<b>20</b> 3:5 32:12	52:22	<i>ノン</i> マン U.ムサ		
33:14	<b>27th</b> 6:3 7:4,23			
2012 26:4	9:16 27:15			
<b>2012</b> 26:4 <b>2013</b> 23:14 24:5	<b>28</b> 23:14 24:5			
· ·	31:14 38:10			
38:10 44:7	44:7 46:14			
45:1 46:14	51:4			
51:4	<b>28th</b> 34:8,11			
		!		